



STATE OF WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

P.O. Box 40002 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0002 • (360) 753-6780 • www.governor.wa.gov

May 12, 2003

To the Honorable President and Members,
The Senate of the State of Washington

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am returning herewith, without my approval as to sections 1 and 4, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5977 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to the use of state highway rights of way for the deployment of personal wireless service facilities;"

This bill establishes procedures for the Department of Transportation to permit siting of wireless telecommunications facilities within state highway rights of way. This is important legislation that will help expand telecommunications services in our state and promote economic development.

Section 4 of this bill would have amended RCW 47.52.001, which is a declaration of state policy to limit access to the highway facilities of the state in the interest of highway safety and for the preservation of the investment of the public in such facilities. The amendment would have created an exception to this longstanding policy by stating that the use of rights of way of limited access facilities "must be permitted" for the deployment of personal wireless facilities, apparently without qualification. Section 1 contains intent language that is largely the same as that contained in section 4. Because these sections can be read to suggest that deployment of personal wireless facilities is inconsistent with the state's interest in highway safety, and that telecommunications deployment should take precedence over it, I am compelled to veto them.

I agree with the Legislature that personal wireless service is a critical part of the state's infrastructure, and I believe that Department of Transportation policy should acknowledge this. However, state policy should also ensure that telecommunications deployment be achieved along state highways without adversely affecting highway safety. For this reason, I believe the current language in RCW 47.52.001, which "limits" but by no means prohibits access to public highways, is the better statement of policy than those contained in sections 1 and 4 of this bill.

For these reasons, I have vetoed sections 1 and 4 of Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5977.

With the exception of sections 1 and 4, Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5977 is approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary Locke
Governor